

NUTHURST PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Full Parish Council Meeting held on
Wednesday, 23rd June 2021 commencing at 7.30pm.

PRESENT: Cllr O Hydes OBE (Chairman), Cllr N Bryant (Vice Chairman), Cllr R Cato, Cllr J Chaytor, Cllr D Harber, Cllr W Ingram, Cllr C Kenny and Cllr T Nelson.

ALSO, IN ATTENDANCE: Sarah Hall (Parish Clerk), County Councillor Nigel Jupp, District Councillor Toni Bradnum and 14 members of the public.

040-21/22 CHAIR'S OPENING REMARKS AND RULES FOR THE SAFE CONDUCT OF THE MEETING
The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting and explained that Covid pandemic rules would still need to be followed to keep everyone as safe as possible. Unfortunately, the meeting could not be streamed because there is no broadband connection in the village hall. The Parish Council are continuing to look into options.

041-21/22 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE
It was **RESOLVED** to **APPROVE** Cllr J Assassi's, Cllr J Bromley's and Cllr J Mercer's apologies for absence.

042-21/22 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST
Cllr N Bryant declared a personal interest in DC/21/1207 (Copsale Barn/Stables).

043-21/22 APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE FULL PARISH COUNCIL MEETING
It was **RESOLVED** the [Minutes](#) of the Full Council meeting held on 5th May 2021 be confirmed as a correct record of the meeting and be signed by the Chairman. (Vote – unanimously approved).

044-21/22 CLERKS STATEMENT
Decisions on the Complaints lodged against the Chair of the Parish Council

“All Councillors and many residents in the Parish may be aware that there have been five formal complaints made to HDC's Standards Team in the last six months against the Chair of the Parish Council.

These five complaints were generally connected to Planning Application DC/20/1840 for Swallowfield Nursery.

This application at a Neighbourhood Plan site was supported by majority decision by the Parish Council and subsequently recommended for approval by HDC's Planning Dept and unanimously granted Planning Permission by District Councillors.

Two separate formal complaints were lodged by Save our Countryside group and contained allegations that the Chair had breached the Code of Conduct.

Three separate formal complaints were lodged by Councillor Cato containing allegations that the Chair had breached the Code of Conduct.

These five complaints were assessed by HDC's Monitoring Officer and the Independent Person.

The two Complaints lodged by the Save our Countryside group were both classed as “unsubstantiated”. Both complaints were dismissed and the matter closed. The three Complaints lodged by Councillor Cato were also all classed as “unsubstantiated”. The Independent Person concluded that “there is no reason to suspect or evidence to support that he (the Chair) is acting out of any other motives than his duty to the Parish”

Thus, all five Complaints have now been dismissed. Throughout this difficult time, the Chair has expressed his gratitude to the Clerk and the remainder of the Councillors for their support”.

045-21/21 CHAIRMANS ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman thanked the Clerk for making the statement and commented;

“I feel my character and chairmanship have been under severe attack over the past six months. Therefore, it has been brilliant to learn that all of these complaints have been found to be completely unsubstantiated and that this can now be made public.

Can I take just a moment to thank some people for their unwavering support during this deeply unpleasant time. Firstly, my wife, then the vice chair, the Clerk, and all other councillors. That support has made a huge difference not only to me personally but also in ensuring that the Parish Council’s work has got done during the last six months.

I am extremely grateful. Thank you”.

046-21/22 SAFETY OUTSIDE ST ANDREW’S SCHOOL, NUTHURST

The Chairman opened the discussion on safety outside St Andrew’s School with the following statement;

“Let me say at the outset that safety outside the school is exceedingly important. It has therefore been discussed before by the Parish Council. The Parish Council considered that parking seemed to constitute the biggest threat to people’s safety. However, at that time, no resolution was found to the parking issues.

More recently, an initiative for a SID came forward from Cllr Cato and this has since been taken up by the Parish Community Group. It centres on speeding outside the school, hence the proposal for a SID.

It would thus seem that there are at least two problems outside the school... parking and speeding, and there may be more problems of course. It seems logical then to look at the whole picture, rather than just part of it.

We are amateurs. So, we need professional input on this. Unfortunately, the West Sussex Highways Officer cannot now attend this meeting here tonight.

Therefore, the Clerk is arranging for an on-site meeting with the West Sussex Highways Officer. He has been asked to analyse what is going on outside the school at various hours of the day, to identify clearly the whole range of the problems and to come up with advice on potential solutions.

He has also been asked to come to our next meeting to present his findings and make his suggestions for making things safer for everyone, at all times of the day. I am only guessing but they may include a SID or a school safety zone with a 20mph limit, or there may be other suggestions. We need professional guidance on this important matter.

Councillors have been circulated with three relevant documents: the Clerk's summary of the quotes to install SIDs; A report by the Parish Community Group on the installation of SIDs; and resident's views about road safety issues in Nuthurst taken from the Parish Survey. This is a lot of information but it is important that all aspects of safety are considered.

It is important that members of the public have an opportunity to speak before Councillors discuss this important issue. So, I am going to split the public forum into two parts – speaking on this issue now and speaking on other issues under the public forum item 48. I am now going to ask members of the public if they wish to speak on road safety at the school now and then I will turn to each Councillor for a comment. The Clerk will note any questions that Councillors or the public think should be put to the West Sussex Highways Officer”.

048-21/22

PUBLIC SESSION PART 1

A Maplehurst resident commented that he was concerned the installation of SIDs would not address the real problem and that they would just reduce the speed of motorists who were already travelling within the speed limit.

A Nuthurst resident commented that having lived close to the school for over 20 years she did not feel that this traffic calming measure was necessary in Nuthurst. She had witnessed speeding vehicles while assisting with Community Speedwatch sessions at 7.30am but by 8.00am the vehicles parked by parents and teachers inhibited the speed of vehicles. Also, no one has ever mentioned 'that something needed to be done about the speeding vehicles in Nuthurst'. The installation of SIDs would be more advantageous on the A281.

Another Nuthurst resident commented that the problem is the speed limit at the bottom of Harriots Hill. The installation of SIDs would be a gimmick, motorists driving very fast would not take any notice of the SIDs. The speed limit along the road should be reduced and red tarmac installed.

Parish

A Mannings Heath resident commented that the Parish Community Group (PCG) and the Council jointly run the Community Speedwatch group. The SIDs were totally adjustable and can be put on timers. Even at 30mph a child could be seriously injured if they ran into the road. The PCG would ideally like to see the speed reduced to 20mph, but this is not possible at present. Would like the Parish Council to look at the 'larger picture' moving the SIDs to the A281 in the future. Requested for the Parish Councillors to have an open mind on the proposal. The PCG are happy to run the project.

A Harriots Close resident commented that there are a lot of traffic issues but she did not feel that there was a speeding issue outside the School in Nuthurst Street. The road is gridlocked at drop off and pick up times and vehicles are now parked along the road all day with walker's cars at the weekend. Helped with a working party in 2017 and there was no sign of speeding. In 2016/17 there were 115 pupils at the school and there are now 140.

Another Harriots Close resident commented that one of the proposed locations of the SIDs was outside her annexe window on a piece of land in her ownership.

A Nuthurst resident commented that he did not drive and was a regular walker, at no time has he felt vulnerable whilst walking along Nuthurst Street. Data was collected before the school opened, after this time the number of parked cars restricts speeding. How effective will SIDs be, after the novelty effect has worn off no longer effective, within 200 metres of passing SIDs vehicles are almost back to the original speed they were travelling. A solution has been found before analysing what is needed.

Questions/Comments from the Councillors

Cllr N Bryant – Looked into the issue in detail, lucky to average more than 15mph due to parked cars, rural hamlet next to conservation area, SIDs will spoil the area. Suitable in some locations but not in Nuthurst. Grandson starting St Andrew's in September, would support the proposal if thought there was a danger.

Cllr J Chaytor – Nuthurst Street is tree lined which would make it hard for SIDs to work effectively. Proposed locations next to a Conservation Area, next to a Listed Building and Church. Cannot see that the SIDs will have any effect, due to parking, cars cannot speed.

Cllr R Cato – Community Speed Watch operates between 7.30-8.15am. Two years ago, 21 vehicles were exceeding the speed limit (36mph) this has now dramatically dropped. On the A281 driver's habits have not resumed to before lockdown. Seen the data from Shermanbury and Partridge Green, there is no question that motorist's behaviour changes after the installation of SIDs. Now need to get the speed down to 30mph. SIDs can be programmed to be switched off. Extremely disappointed.

Cllr W Ingram – Been listening to all the comments, agree with Norman's comments, feel signs at Rudgwick are too large. There is a need for traffic calming in the parish, but little danger outside St Andrews because of the parked cars. Need more data and interested what WSCC Highways say.

Cllr D Harber – Feel children's safety is paramount, the issue outside the school is not speeding but parking. Recently witnessed two incidents due to dangerous parking. Would like to see the data and hear what the experts say.

Cllr C Kenny – Mystified, now comes down to speeding through Nuthurst not protecting the children. Need flashing lights, like the ones outside Southwater Primary School. Need to make motorists aware of what is happening at the time. Need advice from WSCC.

Cllr T Nelson – No particular leaning for or against SIDs. More concerned about using public money effectively. The problem needs to be defined before a solution can be found. WSCC need to define the problem or it would be wasting public money.

It was **RESOLVED** for the Clerk to arrange a meeting with WSCC Highways, the meeting will be attended by County Councillor Nigel Jupp, the Chairman, Vice Chairman and Clerk. Specific questions can be forward to the Clerk.

047-21/22

DISTRICT AND COUNTY COUNCILLORS' REPORTS

District Councillor Toni Bradnum provided an update on District Council business:

- Paul Clarke is the new leader of Horsham District Council and Tony Hogben is the Deputy Leader.

- Dr David Skipp is the Chairman for the 2021/22 Civic year and Kate Rowbottom is the Vice Chairman.
- There is a lot of publicity about the proposed development at Buck Barn, including an article in the Sunday Times.
- Over 20,000 people have signed a petition opposing the proposed development at Buck Barn, 2,000 emails/letters have been sent to Horsham District Councillors and 8,000 letters have been sent to the Prime Minister.
- HDC are now operating a service to collect textiles and small electrical items, this can be booked online.

County Councillor Nigel Jupp provided the following update on County Council business:

- Parking/speeding is a common problem outside primary schools.
- WSCC are very conscious of the adverse effects of Covid on staff and pupils, sadly there have been instances where children have taken their own lives.
- For those eligible free school meals were provided during half term, this provision will continue during the 2021 summer and Christmas holidays.
- The booking system at Hop Oast household recycling centre is proving to be a success.
- WSCC is responsible for adult social care, hopefully, there will be more information on the new Social Care Bill soon.

048-21/22

PUBLIC SESSION PART 2

A resident in Harriots Close advised that a parent with a disabled child has been having problems parking close to the school and asked if a 'disabled parking bay' could be installed.

A Sedgwick resident spoke of his serious concerns the proposed development at Buck Barn would have on the wildlife, the environment and the detrimental effect it would have on the hamlets.

The Chairman explained that the proposed development would have a serious effect on all of the hamlets. The Parish Council have been extremely proactive advising residents how they can get 'their voices heard'. In conjunction with Cowfold, West Grinstead and Shipley Parish Councils, letters have been written to all the Horsham District Councillors asking for the site to be removed from the Local Plan.

The Chairman advised that HDC Will make a decision on which sites will be included in the Local Plan on 15th July and that the Parish Council would again be asking residents to write to the Horsham District Councillors before this date.

District Councillor Toni Bradnum advised that she received 2,000 emails/letters objecting to the proposal to develop Buck Barn and that hardly any of them were from residents in the parish of Nuthurst. "Residents do not seem to have grasped the impact the development would have on the parish".

049-21/22

PLANNING MATTERS

i. New Applications

Comments to Horsham District Council (HDC) on current planning applications were unanimously agreed.

Number	Applicant & Reason
--------	--------------------

<p>DC/21/1207 21.05.2021</p>	<p>Prior notification for change of use of agricultural building to form a single dwelling house (Class C3) Copsale Barn (Stables), Copsale Road, Copsale</p> <p>RESOLVED</p> <p>The Parish Council urges HDC to refuse this prior approval application on the following grounds:</p> <p>1) The proposed development is contrary to Class Q because the applicant has provided no evidence to overcome the Planning Inspector’s reasons for dismissing the appeal regarding the curtilage. It is also contrary to paragraphs (d) and (e) of Class Q requirements because the site is occupied by a thriving small agricultural business.</p> <p>2) The proposed development is contrary to policy 10 of the NPNP and similar requirement in the Nuthurst Parish Design Statement (NPDS) because there is no functional garden or amenity space and no provision for car parking.</p> <p>3) The proposed development would mean the loss of a thriving agricultural business contrary to one of the over-arching aims of the HDPF and the Nuthurst Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>4) The proposed development would be located outside of a built-up area boundary on a site not allocated for development in the HDPF or the NPNP. The proposed development would therefore be inconsistent with the overarching strategy for development within the HDPF. The proposed development is therefore contrary to policies 1, 2, 3, 4, 26 and 40 of the HDPF, policy 1 of the Nuthurst NP and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).</p> <p>5) The site lies in a rural location outside the limits of any existing settlement and does not constitute a use considered essential to a countryside location. The proposal would therefore conflict with paragraph 79 of the NPPF and policies 1, 2, 3, 4 and 26 of the HDPF.</p> <p>6) The proposed dwelling (industrial style structure) would result in harm to the open and rural landscape character of the area and the visual amenities of the site and the wider area and would be out of character with the surrounding buildings. The proposal is therefore contrary to policies 25, 26, 31, 32 and 33 of the HDPF and policy 10 of the NPNP and the overarching aim of the NPDS.</p>
<p>DC/21/1367 07.06.2021</p>	<p>Prior Approval for the erection of a single-storey rear larger home extension, which would project 4.50m from the rear elevation, comprising a maximum height of 3.15m and an eaves height of 2.50m 3 Vaughan Copse, Mannings Heath</p> <p>RESOLVED</p> <p>The Parish Council has no objection in principle to a small extension as that would not represent over-development of the site. However, the Parish Council is concerned about the potential adverse effect on the amenity of the neighbouring property because the height of the extension would overshadow part of its rear garden and block out the sunlight.</p>

- ii. **DELEGATED DECISIONS** - It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** the [delegated decisions](#) between meetings.
- iii. **DECISIONS** – It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** the HDC Planning [decisions](#).
- iv. **RE-INSTATEMENT OF FOOTPATH 1806 (WINTHRIFT, NUTHURST STREET)**
The landowner did not lay the Core Grass system correctly and the track has been inaccessible to walkers and vehicles during the winter months. The ruts were filled but the footpath has not been widened or the gate removed. Several letters have been sent to WSCC PROW and HDC Enforcement bringing the matter to their

attention, to date the Clerk has not received any reply or acknowledgement. Recently another vehicle could not access the field due to the condition of the track. It was **RESOLVED** for County Councillor Nigel Jupp to contact Nigel Bird, WSCC PROW Officer, on the matter. The Clerk will forward copies of previous correspondence.

vi. **PLANNING UPDATES**

It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE**:

DC/21/1851 – Land adjacent to Coombe Cottage

The Parish Council have objected to all the previous applications on the site and HDC have refused to grant planning permission. The Planning Inspectorate dismissed the first appeal, but have allowed the latest appeal for two dwellings.

DC/20/1698 – Land at former Swallowfield Nursery

The planning application for the construction of nine double storey dwellings has been refused by HDC.

050-21/22

PARISH SURVEY

The Parish Council will need to carefully consider the results from the Parish Survey. Different people support different things and whilst this is understandable, it doesn't make the Parish Council's job easy. There will be people who will be pleased with the Council's eventual decisions and those who will not. Therefore, the Parish Council need to move forward slowly and carefully, and consider the survey results very objectively.

Also, it needs to be recognised that the Parish Council does not have a 'magic wand' and cannot make certain things happen. For instance, only WSCC can lower speed limits or give permission for pedestrian crossings. The Parish Council can only lobby those who hold the responsibilities for these things.

The Parish Council cannot just look at percentage results. They will also need to look at people's comments. A clear example of a mis-match is shown by the question about paying £10k for another feasibility study for a shared cycle/footpath along the A281. The percentage result shows a majority in favour of a new feasibility study but the comments show that the majority would not then use it to cycle into Horsham.

Details of the main results of the survey follow;

- The majority of residents support a renovation of the children's play area.
- The majority want to see some leisure facilities for older children.
- There is considerable concern about road safety in the Parish.
- A pedestrian crossing across the A281 to access the garage and shop would be heavily supported by residents.
- The picture for the field behind Woodlands Walk looks fairly evenly balanced. This area needs looking at particularly carefully by the Open Spaces Committee.
- Most people say that they learn about the work of the Parish Council through the Link, noticeboards and by word of mouth.

Cllr R Cato commented that there were a number of people who were not happy with how the Parish Council worked and this needed to be addressed.

The Chairman replied that a number of ideas are being looked into.

It was **RESOLVED** that the Clerk will publish the summary report and the full 'redacted' report will be available upon request. Each committee will discuss the parts of the Survey

that fall within their remit and come up with recommendations. These will be put before the full Parish Council for decisions to made.

051-21/22

FINANCE

- i) It was **RESOLVED** that the schedule of invoices totalling **£6,977.59** be **APPROVED** for payment.

	Payee Name	Details	Net £	VAT £	Total £
Direct Debit 16 May	SSE Energy (Apr)	Unmetered Electricity Supply	134.51	6.71	141.22
Direct Debit 16 June	SSE Energy (May)	Unmetered Electricity Supply	114.83	5.73	120.56
S/Order 1 May 2021	Profitable Website	Website/Email Support (April 2021)	30.00	0	30.00
S/Order 1 June 2021	Profitable Website	Website/Email Support (April 2021)	30.00	0	30.00
002129	S Hall (Clerk)	Expenses	115.27	15.71	130.98
S/Order 1 June 2021	S Hall Clerk	Clerk's Office Allowance	87.50	0	87.50
002130	WSCC	Clerks Salary May (Inv 8001536955)	1,546.88	0	1,546.88
002131	Playsafety	RoSPA Inspection 2021/22	68.50	13.70	82.20
002132	ICO	Data Protection Fee	40.00	0	40.00
002133	Action in Rural Sussex	Parish Survey 50%	911.00	182.20	1,093.20
002134	Netcom IT	Technical Support (19115)	33.60	6.72	40.32
002135	Peter J Consultants	Internal Audit 2021/22	97.50	0	97.50
Paid between meetings					
002128	Andrew Gale	Remedial Works as per Tree Survey (4999)	1,990.00	398.00	2,388.00
002127	Came & Co Insurance	Annual Insurance	1,149.23	0	1,149.23
Total			6,348.82	628.77	6,977.59

It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** the receipts in April/May 2021 totalling **£24,922.27**

Payment Method	Payer Name	Details	Net £	VAT £	Total £
FPI	Nat West	Interest April 2021	0.57	0	0.57

FPI	HDC	Precept 50% 2021/22	24,921.00	0	24,921.00
FPI	Nat West	Interest May 2021	0.70	0	0.70
Grand Total			24,922.27	0	24,922.27

- ii) It was **RESOLVED** to **APPROVE** the Nat West [current](#) and [savings](#) account bank reconciliation for April.
- iii) It was **RESOLVED** to **APPROVE** the [detailed Receipts and Payments](#) for April 2021.
- iv) It was **RESOLVED** to **APPROVE** the transfer of £5,000.00 from the Nat West Savings to the Current account between meetings.
- v) It was **RESOLVED** to **APPROVE** the transfer of £10,000.00 from the Nat West Savings to the Current account.
- vi) It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** the VAT reclaim for £1,153.50 has been submitted to HMRC.
- vii) It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** the receipt of £271.17 from Heartbeat.

052-21/22 APPOINTMENT OF INTERNAL AUDITOR 2021/21

It was **RESOLVED** to **APPOINT** Peter J Consultants as the Internal Auditor for Nuthurst Parish Council for 2021/22.

053-21/22 INTERNAL AUDITORS REPORT 2020/21

It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** the contents of the [Internal Auditors Report](#) and [Annual Review](#).

054-21/22 ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT 2020/21

[Section 1 Annual Governance Statement](#)

It was **RESOLVED** to **APPROVE** the Council's responses to statements 1-9 in section 1 of the Annual Governance Statement. Section 1 was duly signed by the Chairman of the meeting and by the Clerk.

055-21/22 ANNUAL GOVERNANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY RETURN 2020/21 PART 3

[Section 2 Accounting Statements 2020/21](#)

It was **RESOLVED** to **APPROVE** Section 2 Accounting Statements 2020/21 and Section 2 was duly signed by the Chairman of the meeting. It was noted that this had previously been signed by the RFO prior to presentation, as required.

056-21/22 DETAILS OF ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE EXERCISE OF PUBLIC RIGHTS AND NAME OF EXTERNAL AUDITOR

It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** details of the Arrangements for the [Exercise of Public Rights](#) which includes the name of the external auditor.

057-21/22 LOCAL ASSOCIATION, OTHER BODIES AND TRAINING REPORTS

It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** Cllr J Chaytor and Cllr D Harber attend the HDOPF on 8th June 2021, a brief report follows:

- A decision on the development of Rookwood is expected 23rd June 2021
- During the pandemic loneliness has become more apparent, `natter and chatter` is a new initiative in Horsham which has so far been supported by Café Nero.
- The Horsham Society made a presentation, public invited to join and become involved with planning issues in the district.
- Bleak picture painted regarding care homes, fear that care will be left to a small number of large companies.

- Horsham Park is not a protected space and is undergoing a number of changes. A recent survey identified the need for a chatty bench, more toilets and a quiet space.
- Waiting for further information on the third Covid booster date.
- Concerns about lack of access to GP's. GP's now dealing with issues which have been put on the `back burner` during the pandemic.
- Taxi service run by volunteers.
- Mobility scooter training session available.

058-21/22 **UPDATED POLICES**

It was **RESOLVED** to **ADOPT** the updated policies:

- [Code of Conduct](#)
- [Recording of Public Meetings](#)
- [Standing Orders](#)
- [Terms of Reference](#)

059-21/22 **APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEES**

- It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** Cllr W Ingram will sit on the Planning Committee and the Open Spaces and General Maintenance Committee.
- It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** that the appointment of a Councillor to attend the CAGNE PC Forums will be included on July's agenda.

060-21/22 **PARISH COUNCIL RISK ASSESSMENTS**

It was **RESOLVED** for Cllr T Nelson and the Clerk to carry out the annual risk assessments.

061-21/22 **DIARY OF ORDINARY (FULL PARISH COUNCIL AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS)**

It was **RESOLVED** to **PUBLISH** the [diary of ordinary and committee meetings](#). The committee meetings will only take place if there is sufficient business to be considered.

All the Councillors were invited to view the recently extended/refurbished Copsale Hall on Thursday 24th June 2021.

062-21/22 **OPEN SPACES IN THE PARISH**

- Children's Play Area**
It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** the content of the June report on the children's play area.
- Triangle of land outside the `old' Village Store**
A resident has proposed that two trees to be planted on the WSCC land outside the `old' village store. The owners of the property have no objection to the proposal as long as they are consulted on the species and locations of the trees. A Crab Apple or flowering Cherry were suggested.
It was **RESOLVED** for Cllr J Chaytor to suggest a species. The Clerk will look into the licence and funding.

STANDING ORDERS SUSPENDED 9.15pm

A Maplehurst resident advised that funds may be required from the balance on the Nuthurst Remembers account to replace a failing tree in Maplehurst.

STANDING ORDERS REINSTATED 9.18pm

iii) **FunFest 2021**

It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** the Chairman, Vice Chairman and Clerk will represent the Parish Council at the FunFest. Cllr T Nelson offered to lend his Gazebo and the Chairman and Clerk will produce flyers, mainly covering the results of the Parish Survey, what the Parish Council do and the proposed development at Buck Barn.

063-21/22 MATTERS RAISED BY COUNCILLORS TO BE INCLUDED ON THE NEXT AGENDA

It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** that Cllr R Cato requested the Clerk to make a brief statement on the Code of Conduct complaint against Cllr R Cato. *(Cllr R Cato did not approve how 063-21/22 was recorded in the minutes).*

064-21/22 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

It was **RESOLVED** to **NOTE** the next meeting will be held on 21st July 2021 in Mannings Heath Village Hall.

The meeting closed at 9.25pm

.....
Chairman of the meeting

.....
Date